

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/868,580	Applicant(s) MORIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner MONZER R. CHORBAJI	Art Unit 1744	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-final

(1) MONZER R. CHORBAJI.

(3) _____.

(2) LEE CHENG.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2005

Time: 3:00 P.M.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Non-statutory double patenting and obvious rejections.

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1, 10, 14 and 19.

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S.P.N. 5,788,099 and U.S.P.N. 4,197,942.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner of record telephoned Mr. Cheng to inform him that the Non-statutory double patenting and obvious rejections are withdrawn and that independent claim 1 is allowable if it is amended to include "a tank connected to said receiving member for receiving and containing the substances dissolved in the sprayed water". Claims 10-13 and 19 are allowable. However, independent claim 14 will be rejected over the newly found reference U.S.P.N. 4,197,942. .